

1
2
3
4 KYO HAK CHU,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 PAT KULETO RESTAURANT
8 DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT
9 COMPANY D/B/A WATERBAR, et al.,
10 Defendants.

11 Case No. 21-cv-01426-SK
12

SECOND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


13 Regarding Docket No. 19
14

15 On April 25, 2022, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause requiring Plaintiff to respond
16 in writing no later than May 6, 2022, indicating why this case should not be dismissed for failure
17 to prosecute after sitting inactive on the Court's docket for 177 days. (Dkt. No. 19.) The deadline
18 to respond to the Order to Show Cause has long passed, and Plaintiff has filed no response.

19 Accordingly, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to Show Cause in writing by no later than
20 July 13, 2022, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal
21 Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) and why Counsel should not be personally sanctioned in the amount
22 of \$1,000 for failure to respond to the Court's first Order to Show Cause. Additionally, Plaintiff is
23 admonished that, if he fails to file a response to this Order to Show Cause by July 13, 2022, the
Court will dismiss this matter for failure to prosecute and will sanction Plaintiff's Counsel without
any further notice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 6, 2022


SALLIE KIM

24
25
26
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28